## Nunthorpe Neighbourhood Area Application – Consultation Received Representations 04.07.22

## **Middlesbrough Received Representations**

Respondent	Do you support the application?	Summary of additional comments	Summary of Council's response
K. Thornton	Yes	-	Support noted.
R Findlay	Yes	-	Support noted.
C McArdle (re-submitted their support on 13.06.22 & 30.06.22)	Yes	-	Support noted.
G Finn	Yes	-	Support noted.
A Graham	Yes	-	Support noted.
J Graham	Yes	-	Support noted.
J Roebuck	Yes	-	Support noted.
CPRE North & East Yorkshire	Yes	-	Support noted.
W Tovey	Yes	If Nunthorpe residents wish to have any meaningful say so in the future of Nunthorpe then I sincerely hope that they will take this opportunity to support the development of a plan that will	Support noted.

		do that and at least have a community spirit for Nunthorpe regardless of which side of the railway.	
P Spaldin	Yes	-	Support noted.
K Porteous	Yes	-	Support noted.
(re-submitted their support on 02.07.22)			
M McClintock	Yes	-	Support noted.
Cllr. M Smiles	Yes	-	Support noted.
C Smiles	Yes	-	Support noted.
M. Marsden	Yes	-	Support noted.
W Bolland	Yes	-	Support noted.
D Kossick-Plumpton	Yes	-	Support noted.
C Mulcaster	Yes	-	Support noted.
D Leyland	Yes	-	Support noted.
A Walker	Yes	-	Support noted.
M Walker	Yes	-	Support noted.

N Markham  North Yorkshire  County Council	-	The Council has no comments to make on the consultation as there are no major anticipated impacts on North Yorkshire residents or services.	Comment noted.
A Sterling	No	I would like to question/object to the Brethren Church being included in the area. It appears incredibly odd on the map that the other properties on that side of the road are considered Marton but the soon to be sold (and therefore financially attractive) Brethren Church is considered Nunthorpe.	Objection noted
J Hanrahan	-	No comments to make at this stage of the process.	Comment noted.
Historic England			
C Hastings  Natural England	-	Natural England does not wish to make comment on the suitability of the proposed plan area or the proposed neighbourhood planning body.	Comment noted.
Sport England	-	No comment at this stage of the process.	Comment noted.
S Ali	-	No comment at this stage of the process.	Comment noted.
National Highways			
G Cox  Parochial Church  Council of St Marys  Church Nunthorpe	Yes	While we have no comment regarding the principals of the proposal, only that we fully endorse it, we would ask if any consideration was given to the boundaries of our Parish, which is also a public designation of Nunthorpe. We were not able to overlay the two maps easily as there was insufficient clarity on	Support noted.  The request to consider expanding the boundary of the area to reflect the boundary of

the detail of the proposed area. However, looking at the maps enclosed with the papers this does not appear to be the case, as it is very clear that farmland to the east of the Nunthorpe bypass has not been included in the proposed designated area, but it is clearly within the Church Boundary. There could be other areas where the two do not correlate.

I attach below a copy of our boundary map and would ask that, if it is not too late in the process, that the boundary for the Church Parish be included within the proposal for the Designated Area.

Having made the above comments, we do however appreciate that this request should not stop what is in principle a very good intention. Only if time and the process permits would we ask that this suggestion is considered.

the church parish has been investigated by both Councils, (Middlesbrough & Redcar and Cleveland) and found not to be possible as this would incorporate land within the area of Guisborough Town Council in Redcar and Cleveland and land already forming part of Marton West Neighbourhood Area in Middlesbrough.

Neighbourhood planning regulations prevent the overlapping of neighbourhood areas or the inclusion of areas covered by a different parish council without their cooperation.

Received Representations: 31 (although 31 representations were received during the public consultation event, 3 objections have subsequently been withdrawn, and therefore have not been included in the above table).

Supports: 22

**Objections: 1** 

No comments: 5

Total Received Representations (excluding the 3 withdrawn representations) = 28.

## **Redcar and Cleveland Received Representations**

Respondent	Do you support the application?	Summary of additional comments	Summary of Council's response
Cllr C Morgan	Yes	I support reluctantly because I don't see the benefit of this.  Residents don't see the point of it and there is no information to help them.	Support Noted.  The designation of a neighbourhood area will allow Nunthorpe Parish Council to proceed with the preparation of a Neighbourhood Plan. This will include further engagement with local residents to help shape the proposals. The Councils will provide information and support to the community through this process.
D Mendoza	Yes		Support noted.
R Wilkinson	Yes	Would be nice to know what powers will apply to the area & who will govern/be responsible	Support noted.  The designation of the neighbourhood area will allow Nunthorpe Parish Council to proceed with the development of a Neighbourhood Plan. The measures that are to be included within the plan are yet to be determined but will be subject to

			further consultation with residents in the area, in line with the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012.  Once adopted, the neighbourhood plan will form part of the Development Plan for the area and will be used in determining relevant planning applications.
G Cox Parochial Church Council of St Marys Church Nunthorpe	Yes	While we have no comment regarding the principals of the proposal, only that we fully endorse it, we would ask if any consideration was given to the boundaries of our Parish, which is also a public designation of Nunthorpe. We were not able to overlay the two maps easily as there was insufficient clarity on the detail of the proposed area. However, looking at the maps enclosed with the papers this does not appear to be the case, as it is very clear that farmland to the east of the Nunthorpe bypass has not been included in the proposed designated area, but it is clearly within the Church Boundary. There could be other areas where the two do not correlate.  I attach below a copy of our boundary map and would ask that, if it is not too late in the process, that the boundary for the Church Parish be included within the proposal for the Designated Area.  Having made the above comments, we do however appreciate that this request should not stop what is in principle a very	Support noted.  The request to consider expanding the boundary of the area to reflect the boundary of the church parish has been investigated and found not to be possible as this would incorporate land within the area of Guisborough Town Council in Redcar and Cleveland and land already forming part of Marton West Neighbourhood Area in Middlesbrough.  Neighbourhood planning regulations prevent the overlapping of neighbourhood areas or the inclusion of areas

	good intention. Only if time and the process permits would we ask that this suggestion is considered.	covered by a different parish council without their cooperation.

**Received Representations: 4** 

Supports: 4

Objections: 0

No comments 0